

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/841,777	04/24/2001	Luosheng Peng	10480-013-999	6882
75	90 11/02/2004		EXAM	INER
Roxana H Yang			TO, BAOQUOC N	
Law Office of F	Roxana H Yang			<u> </u>
Post Office Box 3986			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Altos, CA 94024			2162	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/841,777	PENG, LUOSHENG				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Baoquoc N To	2162				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 27 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av- inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this application () a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period dee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY,t	o issues which were newly				
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-36</u> .	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:		JEAN M. CORRIELUS				
		PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment "being calculated based at least on the frequency of updates of said set of files as said gateway" needs further search and consideration .